## INTRODUCTION

Learning language through overhearing can be seen as a active learning: information-gathering is self-directed, is strategically oriented,<sup>1</sup> and given variation in child-di speech practices,<sup>2</sup> may reflect children having 'learned t

### **Background:**

- Link between joint attention & vocabulary diminishes in 2<sup>nd</sup> year<sup>4</sup>
- Children can learn new words from overhearing by 18 months<sup>5</sup>

### **Present Study:**

- Introduces naturalistic overhearing context (phone call)
- Increases quantity of learning targets (4 words, 6 facts)
- Compares overhearing to didactic learning in older children

### To succeed at this task, children must:

- Recognize an information gap<sup>6</sup> (names of novel toys)
- Close the gap by overhearing an expert's phone conversation

## **RESEARCH QUESTIONS**

- Can children learn new words and facts from naturalistic overheard speech?
- How do self-directed and didactic language-learning compare at this age?

## STIMULI & METHODS

Participants 47 children, 4;6 - 5;11 (25 girls, M = 5;2, SD = 6 mos)



# Active Overhearing

Ruthe Foushee & Fei Xu University of California, Berkeley

## STIMULI & METHODS

a type of
attention
irected
to learn.'3

### Fig. 1: Stimuli (2 familiar,

4 novel toys)

. Introduction Child's attention directed to toys indiv by Experimenter (DIDACTIC) or Cor (OVERHEARING)
I. Learning (2 object-word mappings) <b>DIDACTIC</b> Child learns one word and fact for e six toys through direct address <b>OVERHEARING</b>

Experimenter delivers script in a phone call, while child plays independently with toys.

III. Test (2 orders)

e.g., "Can you put the zav / one I found in *the garden* in the box?"

WORDS: 2 Blocks (12 trials)

FACTS: 1 Block (6 trials)

## **RESULTS: Test**



Fig. 2: Mean accuracy, SEM error bars, dashed line at chance



<ul> <li>No difference between conditions on</li> </ul>
word-learning (t(373) = 0.46, p = 0.65)
• Fact performance significantly greater in D
dactic condition (t(243.82) = -4.40, p < .001
OVERHEARING • Above chance (.25) on words (43%, t(183))
5.04, p < .001
• Above chance (.17) on facts (66%, t(137)
12.17, p < .001)

- matching-object touches

- looks to experimenter

• Facts > words (t(301.78) = 4.11, p < .001)

- DIDACTIC
- Above chance (.25) on words (41%, t(191) = 4.53, p < .001)

- Above chance on facts (88%, t(143) = 25.61, t(143) = 25.61)p < .001)
- Facts > words (t(330.37) = 10.28, p < .001)



• By 4½, children can learn new words equally well in an overhearing and didactic context • Children in the currect study learned both words and facts above chance in both conditions • Fact-learning was reliably higher than word-learning in both conditions, and significantly greater when taught didactically • Future studies will explore trade-offs in learning from overhearing contexts in younger children, as well as differences in the quality of information available to language-learners in overheard versus child-directed speech.

References <sup>1</sup>Martinez-Sussman, C., Akhtar, N., Diesendruck, G., & Markson, L. (2011). Orienting to third-party conversations. Journal of Child Language, 38, 273-296. <sup>2</sup>Lieven, E. V. (1994). Crosslinguistic and crosscultural aspects of language addressed to children. <sup>3</sup>Bruner, J. S. (1961). The act of discovery. *Harvard educational review*. <sup>4</sup>Akhtar, N., & Gernsbacher, M. A. (2007). Joint attention and vocabulary development: A critical look. Language and Linguistics Compass, 1(3), 195-207. <sup>5</sup>Gampe, A., Liebal, K., & Tomasello, M. (2012). Eighteen-month-olds learn novel words through overhearing. *First Language*, *32(3)*, 385-397. <sup>6</sup>Loewenstein, G. (1994). The psychology of curiosity: A review and interpretation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 116(1), 75 - 98.



## **RESULTS: Video**

Fig. 3: For each child, a measure of attention was calculated from the proportion of each phone call segment in which the child was touching the same object the experimenter was discussing versus the other five objects (e.g., touched the zav as the experimenter described the zav)



Fig. 4: Twenty-one out of 23 children reliably touched the matching objects

### SUMMARY